Some remarks on the attractor behaviour in ELKO cosmology

S. H. Pereira,* A. Pinho S. S.,† and J. M. Hoff da Silva‡

Faculdade de Engenharia de Guaratinguetá – UNESP - Univ. Estadual Paulista

Departamento de Física e Química

Av. Dr. Ariberto Pereira da Cunha 333 - Pedregulho

12516-410 – Guaratinguetá, SP, Brazil

arXiv:1402.6723v1 [gr-qc] 26 Feb 2014

Abstract

It is reanalysed some recent results on the dynamical stability of a system involving the interaction of the ELKO spinor field with matter in the universe, and the conclusion is that the system does not exhibit isolated stable points. The system is then rewritten in terms of the deceleration parameter, and under the assumption that such parameter is nearly constant for some different stages of the evolution, stability points were found for different types of interaction between Dark Matter and ELKO spinors. With this new analysis several interesting scenarios are possible, depending on the interaction term. For example, if it is assumed that the equation of state parameter of the ELKO field is of the phantom type, $\omega_\phi < -1$, the current acceleration of the universe can be driven by the decay of Dark Matter particles into ELKO field. Furthermore, even for $\omega_\phi > 0$ the inflationary period can be driven by the decay of the inflaton field (described by the ELKO spinor) into Dark Matter particles.

PACS numbers: 95.35.+d, 95.36.+x, 98.80.±k, 12.60.±i

*Electronic address: shpereira@gmail.com

†Electronic address: alexandre.pinho510@gmail.com

‡Electronic address: hoff@feg.unesp.br

I. INTRODUCTION

The relatively recent discovery of the accelerated expansion of the universe has been one of the most active research in cosmology [1]. The search for a candidate that can explain the observational data is a challenge that has drawn the attention of many researchers. In general such mysterious component is named Dark Energy (DE) (see [2] for a review). The simplest candidate of DE is the cosmological constant Λ, which might explain most of the current astronomical observations. Another open question in cosmology concerns the Dark Matter (DM) problem (see [3, 4] for a review), which is responsible for the great structures in the universe. The so called ΛCDM model, where CDM stands for Cold Dark Matter, is the best model for the present cosmology. Recent results from the Planck satellite [5] fit quite well with this model. However, from the theoretical point of view such model is plagued with some fundamental problems, thereby stimulating the search for alternative dark energy models [6]. Among such alternative models, scalar dynamical fields has been proposed recently as possible candidates [7].

Another interesting models deal with the possibility of the coupling between DE and DM. The interaction between these completely different fluids has some important consequences, as addressing the coincidence problem, for instance. The coincidence problem could be alleviated on these models by assuming that the DE decays into DM, thus diminishing the difference between the densities of the two components through the evolution of the Universe. In a series of recent papers the possibility of a coupling between DM and DE has been considered [8–21].

Even more recently, a special kind of non standard spinor field has also been studied both as a DM candidate (from the point of view of quantum field theory) as well as DE (in cosmological applications). This spinor field is the so-called ELKO [22–24], which has some interesting and unusual properties. To begin with, this spinor field is formed by a complete set of eigenspinors of the charge conjugator operator, rendering it neutral under $U(1)$ interactions. Moreover, the field obeys only the Klein-Gordon equation. In other words it has mass dimension one. The conjugation of these characteristics made the field quite attractive from many perspectives within the cosmological setup [25–36].

The possible interaction between the matter in the universe with the ELKO field have been studied from the point of view of dynamical systems [36, 37], and stable points of the system have been analysed from different aspects, depending on the choice of the dynamical variables. It is important to emphasise, however, that the dynamical system analysis performed in [37] starts from dynamical equations containing a subtle (but crucial) mistake. In fact, starting from the proper equations we were able to show that there is not a stable fixed point for the underling dynamical system. In order to circumvent this situation, we make use of the deceleration parameter, and the constraint it imposes, extracting physically relevant information about the system.

This paper is organised as follows: Section II is somewhat a short review about the use

of the ELKO field in cosmology, making contact with ref. [37]. In order to make explicit our claim about the crucial difference concerning the dynamical equations and their implications, we present in the Appendix the right (slightly modified in comparing with [37]) dynamical equations, and in Section III the stability analysis is performed. The significant improvement of the dynamical system when related to the deceleration parameter is given in Section IV. In the final Section we conclude.

II. THE ELKO FIELD IN COSMOLOGY: DYNAMICAL EQUATIONS

The ELKO spinor action in the curved spacetime is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int \sqrt{-g}\Big(\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}(\nabla_\mu \overset{\neg}{\lambda}\, \nabla_\nu\lambda + \nabla_\nu \overset{\neg}{\lambda}\, \nabla_\mu\lambda) - V(\overset{\neg}{\lambda}\,\lambda)\Big)d^4x\,, \tag{1}$$

where $V(\overset{\neg}{\lambda}\,\lambda)$ is the potential and $g \equiv \det g_{\mu\nu}$. The covariant derivatives acting on the ELKO spinors are $\nabla_\mu \overset{\neg}{\lambda} = \partial_\mu \overset{\neg}{\lambda} + \overset{\neg}{\lambda}\,\Gamma_\mu$ and $\nabla_\mu\lambda = \partial_\mu\lambda - \Gamma_\mu\lambda$, where Γ_μ are the spin connections. The metric in a spatially flat, homogeneous and isotropic Friedmann-Robertson-Walker in a expanding universe is given by

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)\,. \tag{2}$$

The ELKO Lagrangian density can be writing as

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g}\Big[\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}(\nabla_\mu \overset{\neg}{\lambda}\, \nabla_\nu\lambda) - V(\overset{\neg}{\lambda}\,\lambda)\Big]\,. \tag{3}$$

The equations of motion follows from a principle of least action for $\mathcal{L}$.

As has been done in recent works [29–31, 34, 36], we restrict the ELKO spinor field to the form $\lambda \equiv \phi(t)\xi$ and $\overset{\neg}{\lambda} \equiv \phi(t)\,\overset{\neg}{\xi}$, where ξ and $\overset{\neg}{\xi}$ are constant spinors. In [35] it has been presented exact solutions to ELKO spinor in spatially flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker expanding space times, and it has been shown that such factorisation of the time component of the ELKO field is possible for some types of scale factors.

Due to the homogeneity of the field ($\partial_i\phi = 0$), the equation of motion that follows from (3) is substantially simplified to,

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} - \frac{3}{4}H^2\phi + V_{,\phi} = 0\,, \tag{4}$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ and $V_{,\phi} \equiv dV/d\phi$. The pressure and energy density of spinor dark energy are, according to [31], respectively given by

$$p_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) - \frac{3}{8}H^2\phi^2 - \frac{1}{4}\dot{H}\phi^2 - \frac{1}{2}H\phi\dot{\phi}\,, \tag{5}$$

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) + \frac{3}{8}H^2\phi^2\,. \tag{6}$$

It is supposed that the universe is filled with only two components, namely a matter energy density ρ_m representing the DM a and a ELKO energy density ρ_ϕ, which could represent the DE for the late time acceleration or the inflaton field for the inflationary epoch. The Friedmann equations in a flat background, the ELKO pressure and energy density can be recast in the form[1]

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}\left(\rho_m + \rho_\phi\right) , \tag{7}$$

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}(\rho_m + p_m + \rho_\phi + p_\phi) , \tag{8}$$

$$p_\phi = X - \tilde{V} , \qquad \rho_\phi = X + \tilde{V} , \tag{9}$$

where $\kappa^2 \equiv 8\pi G$ and

$$X = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{8}\dot{H}\phi^2 - \frac{1}{4}H\phi\dot{\phi} , \tag{10}$$

$$\tilde{V} = V(\phi) + \frac{1}{8}\dot{H}\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}H\phi\dot{\phi} + \frac{3}{8}H^2\phi^2 . \tag{11}$$

Despite both new variables do not contain pure kinetic and potential elements we shall call, for simplicity, X and $\tilde{V}$ as the kinetic and potential energy of the field ϕ, respectively. The continuity equations for matter and scalar field are, respectively

$$\dot{\rho}_m + 3H(\rho_m + p_m) = Q , \tag{12}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_\phi + 3H(\rho_\phi + p_\phi) = -Q , \tag{13}$$

where Q stands for a possible interaction term between the DM and the ELKO field. If $Q = 0$ there is no interaction and the two components evolve separately. If $Q > 0$ there is the decay of ELKO field into DM, an interesting scenery at the inflation, and if $Q < 0$ we have DM decaying into Elko field (or DE), an interesting approach to late time acceleration. The matter part is described by a perfect fluid with equation of state $p_m = (\gamma - 1)\rho_m$.

Following [37], it is defined the new variables

$$x = \frac{\kappa\sqrt{X}}{\sqrt{3}H} , \qquad y = \frac{\kappa\sqrt{\tilde{V}}}{\sqrt{3}H} , \qquad v = \frac{\kappa\sqrt{\rho_m}}{\sqrt{3}H} , \tag{14}$$

the Friedmann equation (7) can be written as a constraint equation

$$x^2 + y^2 + v^2 = 1 , \tag{15}$$

or in terms of the densities parameters, $\Omega_\phi + \Omega_m = 1$, where

$$\Omega_\phi = \frac{\kappa^2\rho_\phi}{3H^2} = x^2 + y^2 , \qquad \Omega_m = \frac{\kappa^2\rho_m}{3H^2} = v^2 . \tag{16}$$

[1] Such a decomposition on the pressure and energy density was introduced by Basak et al. [37].

In order to satisfy observational data for a FRW flat universe, it will be imposed the additional condition $0 \leq v^2 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq x^2 + y^2 \leq 1$.

The equations (8), (12) and (13) can be written as a dynamical system of the form (see the Appendix for a brief deduction):

$$x' = (\epsilon - 3)x - \frac{\lambda}{2H}\frac{y^2}{x} - \frac{Q_1}{x}\,, \tag{17}$$

$$v' = \left(\epsilon - \frac{3}{2}\gamma\right)v + \frac{Q_1}{v}\,, \tag{18}$$

$$y' = \left(\epsilon + \frac{\lambda}{2H}\right)y\,, \tag{19}$$

where $'$ stands for the derivative with respect to $N \equiv \ln a$, such that $f' = \dot{f}/H$ for any function f. We reinforce the appearance of a $1/2$ factor in the second term of the right-hand side of Eq. (17). The following parameters are defined: $\epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = 3x^2 + \frac{3}{2}\gamma v^2$, $\lambda = \frac{\dot{V}}{V}$ and $Q_1 = \frac{\kappa^2 Q}{6H^3}$. ϵ is related to the decelerated parameter q according to

$$q \equiv -\frac{\ddot{a}}{aH^2} = \epsilon - 1\,, \tag{20}$$

so that the expansion is accelerated for $q < 0$ (or $\epsilon < 1$) and decelerated for $q > 0$ (or $\epsilon > 1$). Specifically, it is important to note that recent observational results from the Planck satellite measurements of the CMB temperature and lensing-potential power spectra [5] gives $q_0 \simeq -0.527$ for the present deceleration parameter, with $\Omega_m \simeq 0.315$ and $\Omega_\Lambda \simeq 0.685$ (31.5% of dust matter in the universe and 68.5% of dark energy, or cosmological constant, responsible for the present accelerated expansion). Another interesting scenery concerns the inflation, which must have $q \to -1$ (or $\epsilon \to 0$), so that the expansion could be nearly exponential or a de Sitter evolution.

The above three dynamical equations (17), (18) and (19) are exactly the same as obtained by Basak et al. [37], except by the factor 2 in the denominator of the second term in the right-hand side term of Eq. (17). Such missing factor, as we will show later in next section, took the authors of [37] to a misplaced result about stability in this system.

III. STABILITY ANALYSIS

In order to study the dynamic solutions for different types of the interaction term Q, our analysis will be restricted to the first two equations, namely the equations for x and v. For this, we impose the condition[2] $\epsilon = -\frac{\lambda}{2H}$. The system (17) and (18) turns to:

$$x' = -3xv^2 + \frac{3}{2}\gamma\frac{v^2}{x}(1 - v^2) - \frac{Q_1}{x}\,, \tag{21}$$

[2] This condition corresponds to the Case II analysed by Basak et al. [37]. But, again, in [37] there is an important missing factor.

$$v' = 3vx^2 - \frac{3}{2}\gamma v(1-v^2) + \frac{Q_1}{v}\,. \tag{22}$$

The associated linearised matrix, ensured by the topological equivalence settled by the Hartmann-Grobman theorem [39], is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta x' \\ \delta v' \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta v \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{23}$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -3v^2 - \frac{3}{2}\gamma\frac{v^2}{x^2}(1-v^2) + \frac{Q_1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{x}\frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x} & -6vx + \frac{3\gamma v}{x}(1-2v^2) - \frac{1}{x}\frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial v} \\ 6xv + \frac{1}{v}\frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x} & 3x^2 - \frac{3}{2}\gamma(1-3v^2) - \frac{Q_1}{v^2} + \frac{1}{v}\frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial v} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{24}$$

δx and δy are the infinitesimal displacements about the fixed points.

The stability of the system at a fixed point can be obtained from the standard analysis of the determinant (Δ) and the trace (τ) of the matrix M. According to the usual dynamical system theory, if $\Delta < 0$ the eigenvalues are real and have opposite signs, hence the corresponding fixed point is a saddle point. On the other hand, if $\Delta > 0$ and $\tau < 0$ the fixed point is stable, whilst if $\Delta > 0$ and $\tau > 0$ the fixed point is unstable [39]. The fixed points $(\bar{x}, \bar{v})$ for which the above system satisfies $x' = 0$ and $v' = 0$ depends on the choice of the interaction term Q, and several possibilities will be treated in the sequel. Here we consider only the case where the matter part is pressureless, thus we take $\gamma = 1$ from now on.

A. $Q_1 = 0$

Here we have $Q = 0$, and consequently, there is no interaction between the matter and the ELKO field. Such interaction was treated by Wei [36] with choice of the variables other than (14), and no stable point was found. The fixed points of the system (21)-(22) are given by $[\bar{x} = x,\ \bar{v} = 0]$ and $[\bar{x} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1-\bar{v}^2)},\ \bar{v} = v]$. For the first fixed point we have $\Delta = 0$, so we do not have any information about the stability of the system. Furthermore, $\bar{v} = 0$ infers that $\Omega_m = 0$ and $\Omega_\phi = 1$ (from (15) and (16)) a fully Dark Spinor dominated universe. The second fixed point also has $\Delta = 0$.

B. $Q_1 = \beta$

In this case β is constant. If we redefine $\beta = \frac{3}{2}\beta'$, we have $Q = 6\beta\kappa^2 H^3 = 3\beta' H(\rho_\phi + \rho_m)$, an interaction term also treated by Wei [36]. The fixed points are $[\bar{x} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1-\bar{v}^2) - \frac{\beta}{3\bar{v}^2}},\ \bar{v} = v]$ and it is easy to show that $\Delta = 0$.

C. $Q_1 = \beta v^2$

In this case we have $Q = 2\beta H\rho_m$. The fixed points are $[\bar{x} = x,\ \bar{v} = 0]$ and $[\bar{x} = x,\ \bar{v} = \pm\sqrt{(1-2\bar{x}^2) - \frac{2}{3}\beta}]$ and nothing can be said about the stability of the fixed points, since $\Delta = 0$ in both cases.

D. $Q_1 = \beta x^2$

At this time we have an interaction of the form $Q = \beta H(\rho_\phi + p_\phi)$. The fixed points are $[\bar{x} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}\frac{(1-\bar{v}^2)}{(3\bar{v}^2+\beta)}},\ \bar{v} = v]$, and again $\Delta = 0$.

E. $Q_1 = \beta v x^2$

For this kind of interaction $Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\beta\kappa\sqrt{\rho_m}(\rho_\phi + p_\phi)$. The fixed points are $[\bar{x} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}v\frac{(1-\bar{v}^2)}{(3\bar{v}+\beta)}},\ \bar{v} = v]$, and it can be easily obtained that $\Delta = 0$.

F. $Q_1 = \beta x v^2$

In this case we have $Q = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\beta\kappa\rho_m\sqrt{\rho_\phi + p_\phi}$. The fixed points are $[\bar{x} = x,\ \bar{v} = 0]$ and $[\bar{x} = x,\ \bar{v} = \pm\sqrt{1 - 2\bar{x}^2 - \frac{2}{3}\beta\bar{x}}]$ and $\Delta = 0$ in both cases.

G. $Q_1 = \beta x^2 v^2$

Here we have $Q = \frac{1}{3}\beta\kappa^2\rho_m(\rho_\phi + p_\phi)$. The fixed points are $[\bar{x} = x,\ \bar{v} = 0]$ and $[\bar{x} = x,\ \bar{v} = \pm\sqrt{1 - 2\bar{x}^2 - \frac{2}{3}\beta\bar{x}^2}]$ and, as the other cases, we have $\Delta = 0$.

Having analysed all the previous cases where the determinant is always zero, we have used an algebraic manipulation software to test different functions. The functions analysed were of the types: $x^n f(v)$ and $v^n f(x)$, with $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and for all of them the determinant is always zero. This leads us to the conclusion that the new choice of variables keeps the same results studied by Wei [36], where no point of stability has been found for different types of interaction.

IV. STABILITY ANALYSIS WITH DECELERATION PARAMETER

The above study show us that the dynamical system characterised by the equations (17)-(19) with the Friedmann constraint (15) does not presents an isolated fixed point, since a null determinant means that at least one eigenvalue is zero, and there is either a whole line of fixed points on x or v axis. In order to circumvent this situation we shall investigate

the subsequent dynamical system for the case in which another (physical) constraint can be used to select attractor points with physical meaning. Our aim is to analyse under which conditions the system presents stable points if we set the deceleration parameter q nearly constant, which is equivalent to say that we are interested in the stability of the system for some specific time of the evolution. Hence we shall study under which conditions the universe is accelerated ($q < 0$) or decelerated ($q > 0$).

According to recent observations, $q \simeq -0.53$ in the ΛCDM theory. At the transition from decelerated to accelerated phase we have $q = 0$. Moreover, if we are dealing with an interacting model, where DM can decay into ELKO field and vice versa, at every moment the contribution from the both components are changing, thus it only makes sense to study the system around specific time intervals.

Since we are assuming $\epsilon = -\frac{\lambda}{2H}$ and this analysis is independent of the potential, in order to implement our new condition on q it is sufficient to impose $\dot{\tilde{V}} \propto \tilde{V}H$, leading to $\epsilon' \simeq 0$ (and consequently to $q' \simeq 0$), rendering q nearly constant.

Using (20), the new constraint between the variables x and v can be written as

$$q = 3x^2 + \frac{3}{2}\gamma v^2 - 1\,, \tag{25}$$

thus the system of two equations (21)-(22) reduces to only one independent evolution equation. We choose to work with the v equation, which can be recast as

$$v' = (1 + q - \frac{3}{2}\gamma)v + \frac{Q_1}{v}\,. \tag{26}$$

Having solved the above equation for the fixed point $\bar{v}$, the corresponding $\bar{x}$ can be found by using (25).

In order to study the stability of the system it is necessary to verify its behaviour in a close neighbourhood of the fixed points. For this we define the parameter $\eta(v) \equiv \frac{dv'}{dv}$. The fixed point $\bar{v}$ will be stable if $\eta(\bar{v}) < 0$ and unstable if $\eta(\bar{v}) > 0$ [39]. Now let us to analyse the system for all relevant interaction terms.

A. $Q_1 = 0$

With a null interaction term Q the fixed points of (26) are given by $[\bar{x} = \pm\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3(1+q)},\ \bar{v} = 0]$. In order to satisfy $\eta < 0$ we must have $q < \frac{1}{2}$, thus it is possible either a decelerated expansion ($0 < q < \frac{1}{2}$) or an accelerated expansion ($q < 0$). Notice that the fixed point $\bar{v} = 0$ corresponds to $\bar{v}^2 = \Omega_m = 0$ and $\Omega_\phi = 1$. It is interesting to notice that such model can be useful to explain the inflationary phase of the universe, where the ELKO field could be interpreted as the inflaton field. Also we have seen that accelerated solutions are stable for this model. If we analyse the contribution from the kinetic and potential parts of ρ_ϕ in order to maintain the condition $\bar{x}^2 + \bar{y}^2 = \Omega_\phi = 1$, we have the following conditions: if the

potential part of ρ_ϕ dominates over the kinetic ($\bar{y}^2 \gg \bar{x}^2$), we have $q \to -1$, a completely accelerated scenery, as required for inflation. When the kinetic and the potential parts are given by $\bar{y}^2 = \bar{x}^2 = 0.5$ we have $q \to \frac{1}{2}$, a decelerated phase. If $\bar{x}^2 > \bar{y}^2$ we have $q > \frac{1}{2}$ and the solutions are unstable.

B. $Q_1 = \beta$

The fixed points are $[\bar{x} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1-q(1+2q)-3\beta}{3(1-2q)}}$, $\bar{v} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{2\beta}{1-2q}}]$. We have $\eta = -1 + 2q$ at the fixed point. In order to satisfy simultaneously the conditions $\eta < 0$ we must have $q < \frac{1}{2}$. Thus it is also possible both an accelerated ($q < 0$) or a decelerated expansion ($0 < q < \frac{1}{2}$) for this model. Notice that we did not have any information about β. Now, if we impose the additional condition $0 \leq \bar{v}^2 \leq 1$ for $\bar{v}$ (which corresponds to $0 \leq \Omega_m \leq 1$), we have $0 < \beta < (\frac{1}{2} - q)$ for $q < \frac{1}{2}$. This shows that β can assume only positive values, indicating a positive interaction term Q, so that we have only the possibility of the decay of ELKO field into DM.

In order to apply this model to the late time acceleration of the universe, we set the observational constraint $\bar{v}^2 = \Omega_m = 0.315$ ($31,5\%$ of matter in the universe) and $q = -0.53$. Thus we are left with $\beta = 0.32$. This shows that the present accelerated phase of expansion of the universe may be due to the decay of ELKO field into DM.

This model has a much more interesting interpretation at the inflationary phase. Since the interaction term β is always positive, and accelerated solutions are stable, we can interpret the ELKO field as the inflaton decaying into DM particles at the early universe. Taking for instance $\bar{v}^2 = \Omega_m \simeq 0.01$, $\Omega_\phi \simeq 0.99$ and $q \simeq -1$, we must have $\beta \simeq 0.015$, a very tiny coupling for the interaction, but yet indicating a decaying of ELKO field into DM.

C. $Q_1 = \beta v^2$

In this case the fixed points are $[\bar{x} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}(1+q)}$, $\bar{v} = 0]$ and in order to satisfy the condition $\eta < 0$ we must have $q < (\frac{1}{2} - \beta)$. This model also has physical interest at the inflationary phase since we have $\bar{v}^2 = \Omega_m = 0$ and $\Omega_\phi = 1$ at stable points. For $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ (positive interaction term) we have $q < 0$, admitting also an accelerated expansion while the ELKO field decays into DM particle.

If the potential part of ρ_ϕ dominates over the kinetic ($\bar{y}^2 \gg \bar{x}^2$), we have $q \to -1$ for $\beta \to \frac{3}{2}$, a completely accelerated scenery, as required for the inflation and allowing the decay of ELKO field into DM. When the kinetic part dominates over the potential ($\bar{x}^2 \gg \bar{y}^2$) we have $q \to 2$ and $\beta \to -\frac{3}{2}$, a completely decelerated scenery, inconsistent with the inflationary model.

D. $Q_1 = \beta x^2$

For this interaction the fixed points are $[\bar{x} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1-q(1+2q)}{3(1+\beta-2q)}},\ \bar{v} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{2\beta(1+q)}{3(1+\beta-2q)}}]$. We have $\eta = -1 + 2q - \beta$. In order to satisfy $\eta < 0$ and also the condition $0 \leq v^2 \leq 1$ we must have the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} -1 < q < \frac{1}{2} \qquad & \text{if} \quad \beta > 0\,, \\ q < -1 \qquad & \text{if} \quad -3 < \beta < 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The first condition contains the best fit value $q = -0.53$ for a positive β. Particularly for $\bar{v}^2 = \Omega_m = 0.315$ we should have $\beta > 0.90$ in order to satisfy $q < 0$. If we rewrite the corresponding interaction in terms of the equation of state parameter for the ELKO field, $\omega_\phi \equiv \frac{p_\phi}{\rho_\phi}$, we have $Q = \beta H(1+\omega_\phi)\rho_\phi$. Thus we see that for a phantom-like equation of state ($\omega_\phi < -1$), the late time acceleration could be interpreted as the decay of DM particles into ELKO field since we have a positive β, but the interaction Q will be negative due to the phantom regime, even with $\beta > 0$.

It is also interesting to note that for the second condition, namely $q < -1$ and $-3 < \beta < 0$, we always have an accelerated expansion with the possibility of DM decaying into ELKO field.

E. $Q_1 = \beta x^2 v^2$

For this case we have the following fixed points $[\bar{x} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1-2q}{2\beta}},\ \bar{v} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{2\beta(1+q)-3(1-2q)}{3\beta}}]$ and $[\bar{x} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}(1+q)},\ \bar{v} = 0]$. For the first pair of values we have $\eta = 1 - 2q - \frac{2}{3}\beta(1+q)$. In order to satisfy $\eta < 0$ and also the condition $0 \leq \bar{v}^2 \leq 1$ we must have the following condition:

$$-\frac{2\beta - 3}{2(\beta+3)} < q < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta > 0\,. \tag{28}$$

We see that if $\beta > \frac{3}{2}$ there is an accelerated expansion ($q < 0$). For the best fit value $\bar{v}^2 = \Omega_m = 0.315$ we should have $\beta > 2.84$ in order to satisfy $q < 0$. Here, again, we see that for a phantom like equation of state ($\omega_\phi < -1$), the late time acceleration could be interpreted as the decay of DM particles into ELKO field since we have a positive β but again the interaction will be negative due to the phantom regime.

For the second pair of fixed points the conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} q < -\frac{2\beta - 3}{2(\beta+3)} \qquad & \text{if} \quad \beta > -3\,, \\ q > -\frac{2\beta - 3}{2(\beta+3)} \qquad & \text{if} \quad \beta < -3\,. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Note that we have $\bar{v}^2 = \Omega_m = 0$ and hence such model can be applied to the early inflationary universe. If the kinetic term of ρ_ϕ dominates over the potential ($\bar{x}^2 \gg \bar{y}^2$), we have $q \to 2$ and

$\beta < -\frac{3}{2}$, consequently a decelerated expansion. If the potential part dominates ($\bar{y}^2 \gg \bar{x}^2$) we have $q \to -1$ and $-\infty < \beta < \infty$, thus we have a scenery where the evolution is accelerated and the parameter β can assume any value, allowing for the decay of ELKO field into DM particles.

Let us conclude this Section by making a brief general overview about the dynamical system investigated here. There are two main compatible constraints which must be respected: Eqs. (15) for fixed y and (25). From the point of view of the dynamical system analysis it is insightful to think of the flux occurring in one of the curves in the (x, v) plane denoted by the aforementioned constraints. To fix ideas we can take the nowadays value for $q \sim -0.53$, rendering (25) a parabola equation. Thus, the system flows over the parabola and the fixed points engender the radius (to be fitted by y) of the circle given by (15).

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work it has been analysed the dynamical system concerning the study of an interacting Dark Matter model with ELKO fields. Due to an incorrect factor in the evolution equations present in the ref. [37], one of the two cases there analysed leads to an inconsistent result. In their analysis it is possible to find out stable points for some interaction terms between DM and the ELKO field. However, with the correct factor in the evolution equations, we have shown that for several interaction terms there are no attractor points. Based on this result we have proposed a new analysis in which the deceleration parameter q is taken as approximately constant during some time of the evolution. Within this context, we have studied the conditions under which we can have stable points.

We have found in Section IV the conditions between the interaction coupling β and the deceleration parameter q in order to have stable points for the system. Stable points concerning the inflationary phase were found for several interactions terms. The late time acceleration also presents stable points. The best fit values of the ΛCDM model ($\Omega_m = 0.315$, $q = -0.53$) are possible only for positive values of β, indicating a decay of ELKO field into DM particles. It is also shown that only if the ELKO field satisfies a phantom like equation of state (discussed in the cases D and E) there is the possibility of the decay of DM particles into ELKO field. It is important to highlight that this new analysis in terms of the deceleration parameter leads to several interesting possibilities related to both inflationary phase as the current phase of acceleration, which could, for instance, alleviate the coincidence problem.

Acknowledgments

SHP is grateful to CNPq - Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, Brazilian research agency, for the financial support, process number 477872/2010-7. JMHS

thanks to CNPq (482043/2011-3; 308623/2012-6) for financial support.

Appendix: dynamical system equations

Here we briefly present the deduction of the dynamical system equations, namely Eqs. (17)-(19). The main goal is to clarify the appearance of the missing factor 2 in equation of x' from Basak et. al. [37].

By taking Eqs. (9), the derivative $\dot{\rho_\phi} = \rho'_\phi H$ and $\rho'_\phi = X' + \tilde{V}'$ into (13) we arrive at

$$X' + 6X + \tilde{V}' = -\frac{Q}{H}\,. \tag{30}$$

Taking the derivative $'$ of x^2 from (14) we have

$$X' = 6xx'\frac{H^2}{\kappa^2} + 6x^2\frac{H'H}{\kappa^2}\,. \tag{31}$$

Using $\frac{H'}{H} = \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = -\epsilon$ into (31) and then substituting into (30) it is possible, after rearranging terms, to get

$$x' = (\epsilon - 3)x - \frac{\lambda}{2H}\frac{y^2}{x} - \frac{Q_1}{x}\,, \tag{32}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{\dot{\tilde{V}}}{\tilde{V}}$ and $Q_1 = \frac{\kappa^2 Q}{6H^3}$.

The expression for v' can be derived in a similar manner. By using (12) we have

$$\rho'_m + 3\gamma\rho_m = \frac{Q}{H}\,. \tag{33}$$

Taking the derivative $'$ of v^2 from (14) we have

$$\rho'_m = 6vv'\frac{H^2}{\kappa^2} + 2\rho_m\frac{H'}{H}\,. \tag{34}$$

As before, we write H' in terms of ϵ and then substitute the result into (33). Doing some simple manipulations it is possible find that

$$v' = \left(\epsilon - \frac{3}{2}\gamma\right)v + \frac{Q_1}{v}\,. \tag{35}$$

Finally, the expression for y' can be obtained by taking the derivative $'$ of y^2 from (14) and using the above definitions for λ and ϵ, and also that $\dot{\tilde{V}} = \tilde{V}'H$. After rearrange the terms we have

$$y' = \left(\epsilon + \frac{\lambda}{2H}\right)y\,. \tag{36}$$

[1] A. G. Riess *et al.*, *Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant*, *Astron. J.* **116**, (1998) 1009;

S. Perlmutter *et al.*, *Measurements of* Ω *and Lambda from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae*, *Astrophys. J.* **517**, (1999) 565;

P. Astier *et al.*, *The Supernova Legacy Survey: measurement of* Ω_M, Ω_Λ *and w from the first year data set*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **447**, (2006) 31;

A. G. Riess *et al.*, *New Hubble Space Telescope Discoveries of Type Ia Supernovae at z ¿ 1: Narrowing Constraints on the Early Behavior of Dark Energy*, *Astrophys. J.* **659**, (2007) 98.

[2] T. Padmanabhan, *Cosmological Constant - the Weight of the Vacuum*, *Phys. Rept.* **380**, (2003) 235;

P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, (2003) 559;

J. A. S. Lima, *Alternative dark energy models: an overview.*, *Braz. J. Phys.* **34**, (2004) 194;

V. Sahni and A. Starobinsky, *Reconstructing Dark Energy*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D 15**, (2006) 2105.

[3] M. Davis, G. Efstathiou, C. S. Frenk and D. S. M. White, *The evolution of large-scale structure in a universe dominated by cold dark matter*, *Astrophys. J.* **292**, (1985) 371.

[4] G. Bertone, *Particle Dark Matter: Observations, Models and Searches*, Cambridge University Press, UK, (2010).

[5] P. A. R. Ade et al., *Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters*, *Astron. Astrophys.* (2013), [arXiv:1303.5076].

[6] S. Weinberg, *The cosmological constant problem*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **61**, (1989) 1;

D. Pavón, *Nonequilibrium fluctuations in cosmic vacuum decay*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 43**, (1991) 375;

J. C. Carvalho, J. A. S. Lima and I. Waga, *Cosmological consequences of a time-dependent* Λ *term*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 46**, (1992) 2404;

J. A. S. Lima and M. Trodden, *Decaying vacuum energy and deflationary cosmology in open and closed universes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 53**, (1996) 4280;

S. Carneiro and J. A. S. Lima, *Time Dependent Cosmological Term and Holography*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 20**, (2005) 2465;

I. L. Shapiro, J. Sola and H. Stefancic, *Running G and Lambda at low energies from physics at M(X): Possible cosmological and astrophysical implications*, *JCAP* **0501**, (2005) 012;

E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and P. Wang, *Dark energy: Vacuum fluctuations, the effective phantom phase, and holography*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 71**, (2005) 103504;

J. S. Alcaniz and J. A. S. Lima, *Interpreting cosmological vacuum decay*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 72**, (2005) 063516;

J. V. Cunha, J. S. Alcaniz and J. A. S. Lima, *Cosmological constraints on Chaplygin gas dark energy from galaxy cluster x-ray and supernova data*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 69**, (2004) 083501;

F. C. Carvalho *et al.*, *Scalar-Field-Dominated Cosmology with a Transient Acceleration Phase*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, (2006) 081301;

L. R. Abramo and N. Pinto-Neto, *Stability of phantom k-essence theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 73**,

(2006) 063522;

[7] Y.M. Cho and Y.Y. Keum, *Dilatonic dark matter and unified cosmology: a new paradigm*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **15**, (1998) 907;

R. Dick, *The dilaton as a candidate for dark matter*, *Rev. Mex. Fis.* **41**, (1996) 791;

T. Matos, F.S. Guzmán, L. A. Ureña-López, *Scalar Field as Dark Matter in the Universe*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17**, (2000) 1707;

F. S. Guzmán, T. Matos, *Scalar Fields as Dark Matter in Spiral Galaxies*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17**, (2000) 9;

A. Suárez, V. Robles, T. Matos, *A Review on the Scalar Field/ Bose-Einstein Condensate Dark Matter Model*, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **9**, (2013) 38;

T. Matos, A. Vázquez-González and J. Magaña, ϕ^2*as dark matter*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **393**, (2009) 1359;

E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, *Dynamics of dark energy*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D 15**, (2006) 1753;

L. A. Ureña-López, *Bose-Einstein condensation of relativistic Scalar Field Dark Matter*, *JCAP* **014** (2009) 0901;

L. A. Ureña-López, M. J. Reyes-Ibarra, *On the Dynamics of a Quadratic Scalar Field Potential*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D 18**, (2009) 621;

J. Magaña, T. Matos, A. Suárez and F.J. Sánchez-Salcedo, *Structure formation with scalar field dark matter: the field approach*, *JCAP* **10**, (2012) 003;

V. H. Robles and T. Matos, *Flat central density profile and constant dark matter surface density in galaxies from scalar field dark matter*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **422**, (2012) 282;

C.G. Böhmer and T. Harko, *Can dark matter be a Bose-Einstein condensate?*, *JCAP* **025**, (2007) 0706;

V. H. Robles and T. Matos, *Exact Solution to Finite Temperature SFDM: Natural Cores without Feedback*, *ApJ* **763**, (2013) 19.

[8] S. Das, P.S. Corasaniti, and J. Khoury, *Super-acceleration as signature of dark sector interaction*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 73**, (2006) 083509.

[9] C. Feng, B. Wang, Y.G. Gong, R.K. Su, *Testing the viability of the interacting holographic dark energy model by using combined observational constraints*, *JCAP* **005**, (2007) 0709.

[10] R. Mainini and S. Bonometto, *Mass functions in coupled dark energy models*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 74**, (2006) 043504;

G.M. Kremer, *Dark energy interacting with neutrinos and dark matter: A Phenomenological theory*, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **39**, (2007) 965;

C.G. Boehmer, G. Caldera-Cabral, R. Lazkoz, and R. Maartens, *Dynamics of dark energy with a coupling to dark matter*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 78**, (2008) 023505.

[11] W. Zimdahl, D. Pavón, L.P. Chimento, *Interacting quintessence*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 521**, (2001)

133;

L.P. Chimento, A.S. Jakubi, D. Pavón, W. Zimdahl, *Interacting quintessence solution to the coincidence problem*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 67**, (2003) 083513;

S. del Campo, R. Herrera, D. Pavón, *Late universe expansion dominated by domain walls and dissipative dark matter*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 70**, (2004) 043540;

D. Pavón, W. Zimdahl, *Holographic dark energy and cosmic coincidence*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 628**, (2005) 206.

[12] B. Wang, Y.G. Gong, E. Abdalla, *Transition of the dark energy equation of state in an interacting holographic dark energy model*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 624** (2005) 141;

B. Wang, C.Y. Lin, E. Abdalla, *Constraints on the interacting holographic dark energy model*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 637**, (2006) 357.

[13] B. Wang, J. Zang, C.Y. Lin, E. Abdalla, and S. Micheletti, *Interacting Dark Energy and Dark Matter: Observational Constraints from Cosmological Parameters*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 778**, (2007) 69.

[14] E. Abdalla, L. R. Abramo, L. Sodré, B. Wang, *Signature of the interaction between dark energy and dark matter in galaxy clusters*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 673**, (2009) 107.

[15] B. Wang, C. Y. Lin, D. Pavón, E. Abdalla, *Thermodynamical description of the interaction between dark energy and dark matter*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 662**, (2008) 1.

[16] D. Pavón, B. Wang, *Le Chatelier-Braun principle in cosmological physics*, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **41**, (2009) 1.

[17] P. Wang and X. Meng, *Can vacuum decay in our universe?*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22**, (2005) 283.

[18] J. F. Jesus, *Constraints from the Old Quasar APM 08279+5255 on Two Classes of $\Lambda(t)$-Cosmologies*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **40**, (2008) 791.

[19] Z.K. Guo, N. Ohta and S. Tsujikawa, *Probing the Coupling between Dark Components of the Universe*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 76**, (2007) 023508.

[20] M. Quartin, M. O. Calvão, S. E. Jorás, R. R. R. Reis and I. Waga, *Dark Interactions and Cosmological Fine-Tuning*, *JCAP* **0805**, (2008) 007.

[21] S. H. Pereira and J. F. Jesus, *Can dark matter decay in dark energy?*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 79**, (2009) 043517.

[22] D. V. Ahluwalia-Khalilova and D. Grumiller, *Dark matter: A spin one-half fermion field with mass dimension one?*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 72** (2005) 067701.

[23] D. V. Ahluwalia-Khalilova and D. Grumiller, *Spin half fermions with mass dimension one: theory, phenomenology, and dark matter*, *JCAP* **07** (2005) 012.

[24] D. V. Ahluwalia, *On a local mass dimension one Fermi field of spin one-half and the theoretical crevice that allows it*, (2013), arXiv:1305.7509v1 [hep-th].

[25] C. G. Boehmer, *The Einstein-Cartan-Elko system*, *Annalen Phys.* **16** (2007) 38.

[26] C. G. Boehmer and J. Burnett, *Dark spinors with torsion in cosmology*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 78**

(2008) 104001.

[27] C. G. Boehmer and D. F. Mota, *CMB anisotropies and inflation from non-standard spinors*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 663** (2008) 168.

[28] L. Fabbri, *The most general cosmological dynamics for ELKO matter fields*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 704** (2011) 255.

[29] C. G. Boehmer, *The Einstein-Elko system - Can dark matter drive inflation?*, *Annalen Phys.* **16** (2007) 325.

[30] C. G. Boehmer, *Dark spinor inflation - theory primer and dynamics*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 77** (2008) 123535.

[31] C. G. Boehmer, J. Burnett, D. F. Mota and D. J. Shaw, *Dark spinor models in gravitation and cosmology*, *JHEP* **07**, (2010) 053.

[32] D. Gredat and S. Shankaranarayanan, *Modified scalar and tensor spectra in spinor driven inflation*, *JCAP* **01** (2010) 008.

[33] A. Basak and J. R. Bhatt, *Lorentz invariant dark-spinor and inflation*, *JCAP* **06** (2011) 011.

[34] C. G. Boehmer and J. Burnett, *Dark energy with dark spinors*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 25** (2010) 101.

[35] J. M. Hoff da Silva and S. H. Pereira, *Exact solutions to Elko spinors in spatially flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker spacetimes*, *JCAP* (2014) [arXiv:1401.3252], to be published.

[36] H. Wei, *Spinor dark energy and cosmological coincidence problem*, *Phys. Lett.***B 695** (2011) 307.

[37] A. Basak, J. R. Bhatt, S. Shankaranarayanan and K. V. P. Varma *Attractor behaviour in ELKO cosmology*, *JCAP* **04** (2013) 025.

[38] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, *Cosmological inflation and large-scale structure*, Cambridge University Press, UK, (2000).

[39] S. H. Strogatz, *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos*, Perseus Books Publishing, Massachusetts, USA, (1994).